

They, in fact, have guaranteed in the Senate bill education benefits for illegals. They have in fact given them better work guarantees, that you cannot fire them without cause, as opposed to Americans who can be fired without cause.

The Senate bill is wrapped around one center core, and that core is a guest worker program. That guest worker program is nothing more or less than amnesty because it includes legalizing the status of illegals in our country. That Senate bill, number one, will give these benefits.

By the way, the Senate voted to make illegal immigrants eligible for Social Security. Wake up, America. Your United States Senate just voted to give illegal immigrants, make them eligible for Social Security. What kind of draw will that be? Hundreds of millions of desperate people with no pensions throughout the world will do anything to get over this fence if they are going to get a pension like we give our own people.

By the way, the Social Security system is not just a pension system. It is also a survivor's benefit system. Now who is going to game that? What can you expect? Someone comes here. They are part of the Social Security system, and even if they do go home and all of a sudden someone declares they are dead, or maybe they do die, and we get the note from the coroner that says Mr. So-and-so died. He was part of the Social Security system there. His survivors are his five children. Please start sending the Social Security checks to his five children until they are 18 years old.

If the Senate bill is passed and if those Senators who voted for it, we will be spending billions of dollars in sending checks overseas for survivor benefits for people who managed to get into the Social Security system. This is an outrage. The Senate bill needs to be defeated. We have the option, and I will leave it at that.

We do not need to have a guest worker program. We do not need to provide benefits. Our solution is easy: Build this fence so they cannot get through. Cut off the benefits. Make sure no illegal is entitled to government benefits and make it hard for them to get a job and they will go home.

Anyone who claims we have to have massive deportation, that is the only solution, massive deportation or amnesty, that is a disingenuous argument. No, we can reverse the trend and after a few years illegals will start going home because they have a tough time making it here.

Again, I thank Mr. KING for his leadership. We can come at this with a barrier. We can come at this by cutting off benefits, and we can save America.

Mr. KING of Iowa. I thank the gentleman from California (Mr. ROHR-ABACHER) for his remarks and his commitment to this cause.

I wanted to point out that this concertina wire or razor wire on top, we

can put two or three or four rolls up here.

Then I point out that this wall does not speak about America. We know that America is a magnet for people all over the world. It speaks about the failure in Mexico. The failure in Mexico is what drives people here. They have a corrupt society and a failed economy. They need to clean up their act.

Vicente Fox needs to do his job down in Mexico, rather than coming to the United States and interfere with the domestic policy of the United States. That would be a violation of the law in Mexico, for someone from the United States to go down there and interfere with their domestic policy.

Their domestic policy needs improvement. They need to get the corruption out. They need investment. And one day, when they clean up Mexico, this wall will not have to be here any longer.

When they do that, we can tear down this wall. We won't need it. This is a wall that can be torn down as easily or more easily than it can be put up. The footing will be there if we have to put it back again.

Mr. Speaker, these are all solvable problems, but they are issues that must be resolved for the benefit of the people of the United States of America. Everyone's immigration policy should be designed to enhance the economic, cultural and the social well-being of the United States of America.

Mr. Speaker, that is what Mr. ROHR-ABACHER is for, that is what I am for, and that is what the House of Representatives is for.

OMISSION FROM THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD OF TUESDAY, MAY 23, 2006, AT PAGE H3077

A portion of the following bill, H.R. 5384 was inadvertently omitted from the RECORD:

After Sec. 748, insert:

SEC. 749. (a) Section 1307(a)(6) of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 7957(a)(6)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking "2006" and inserting "2007"; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking "2007" and inserting "2008".

(b) The authority provided by section 1307(a)(6) of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 7957(a)(6)), as amended by subsection (a), shall terminate beginning with the 2008 crop of peanuts, and shall be considered to have terminated notwithstanding section 257 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 907).

After Sec. 750, insert:

SEC. 751. The Secretary of Health and Human Services may require the holder of an approved application for a drug under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to conduct one or more studies to confirm or refute an empirical or theoretical hypothesis of a significant safety issue with the drug (whether raised with respect to the product directly or with respect to the class of the product) that has been identified by the Secretary. If the holder fails to comply

with such a requirement (including a requirement imposed before the date of the enactment of this Act as a condition of the approval of an application under such section), the Secretary may, after notice and an opportunity for a hearing, consider the drug to be misbranded under section 502 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

CORRECTION TO THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD OF MONDAY, MAY 22, 2006, AT PAGE H3003

Mr. KUCINICH. I want to extend my condolences to the family of our colleague Mr. CANTOR and also thank Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN for her leadership and her commitment to attempting to create peace, as well as to speak directly to my dear friend, Mr. LANTOS.

I think it is fair to say Israel has no greater champion in the Congress, and the American people have no greater champion for human rights than Mr. LANTOS. His escape from the Holocaust is a story worthy of being taught in all of our schools.

I am here to ask: Is the past prologue? Is war and violence inevitable, or do we have the ability to create a new future where nonviolence, peace and reconciliation are possible through the work of our own hearts and hands?

I would not take issue with my friend Mr. LANTOS's informed experience, and I join him in defense of Israel's right to survive. Mr. LANTOS is my brother. The Israelis are our brothers and sisters. The Palestinians are our brothers and sisters. When our brothers and sisters are in conflict, when violence engulfs them, it is our responsibility to help our brothers and sisters end the violence, reconcile and fulfill the biblical injunction to turn hate to love, to beat swords into plowshares and spears into pruning hooks.

These are universal principles that speak to the triumph of hope over fear. We must call upon Hamas to renounce terror. We must call upon Hamas to disavow any intention for the destruction of Israel.

This ought to be a principle of negotiation with Hamas, not separation from the aspirations of the Palestinian people to survive.

I think we can speed the cause of peace by calling upon Israel to accept the Palestinians' right to self-determination and economic survival and humanitarian relief, for food, medical care, for jobs.

I ask, how can we arrive at a two-state solution if we attempt to destroy one people's government's ability to provide? A two-state solution, I believe, can be achieved with our mutual, thoughtful patience and support.

At a time when the U.N. is reporting a pending humanitarian disaster in the West Bank and Gaza, I believe this legislation would restrict U.S. assistance to the Palestinian people delivered through nongovernmental organizations. We know that, today, up to 80 percent of all Palestinians, particularly in parts of the Gaza Strip, live at